|  |
| --- |
| TOB Building Committee Meeting Notes |
|  |
| Location: | TOB Board Mtg Room |  |
| Date: | 20250919 |  |
| Time: | **5 PM -5:45PM** |  |
| Facilitator: | Dean Weber |  |

# Agenda Items

Building Committee Members:

Dean Weber                         dean@deanweber.net                            612-322-9714

Doug Westerberg                 mfocusy@yahoo.com                             253-312-4767

Paul Halverson                     superfaxon@gmail.com     218-591-3005

Jeff Stumph                          jeffrey.stumpf@icloud.com                   608-683-0970

Paul Susienka                     sup3@townofbarneswi.gov                   715-209-1992

 **Public Comments:**

None

**Discussion:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | ITEM 1  | REVIEW CEDAR CORP STUDY/CODE REVIEW PROPOSAL FOR SUBMISSION FOR APPROVALThe revised study was reviewed with several comments around the scope to make sure it was what we wished to submit. Comments Summary: Jeff: we should go with what the Board approved which was option B and why wait until next year if we have data this year. Paul H, we don’t want to lock it in yet on the concrete wall structure. Paul S, we need focus on confirming the size and function required.Next Steps:2 key notes on the proposal –1.) Cedar agrees that the preliminary Septic, Electrical, Mechanical will be included. 2.) Both metal and concrete structures will be reviewed as options, but we will select one asap to move forward with for the final presentation to the Board as soon as we feel comfortable. The board meeting in the spring is a buffer target date if needed.The proposal will be recommended for approval. (And was subsequently approved at the following board meeting).  |
|  | ITEM 2 | DISCUSS/TARGET KEY SPACE REQUIREMENTS AND BUILDING SIZE NEEDSGenerally, we think option B will be the target, but Cedar will meet with Jeff J. and Jeff S. to further detail space requirements in the next few weeks. It is not expected that equipment space needs will increase a lot in the future but we need to meet what we need now with some buffers for future. Paul H commented on having a separate ambient but covered structure for storage out back. |
|  | ITEM 3 | DISCUSS/TARGET QUALITY OF FACILITY/LIFE EXPECTANCY FOR STRUCTURECost is of major concern. Once we get square footage needs Cedar will provide a ‘typical’ cost spread between the two options for us to review and decide which path to move forward with. It is assumed we will have a public referendum on the new Town Garage Project, and a question has been put to the Board for some directions on an acceptable cost target. |

**Timeline and Next Steps:**

* **Target Recommendation Date:** We aim to present a well-considered recommendation at next year’s spring annual town meeting or before if possible.
* **Before the next town meeting:** Cedar will work with Jeff and Jeff on further determining square footage needs and layout.